

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,890	03/31/2004	Robert Japp	EI-2-04-003	5018	
Lawrence R. Fraley, IP Law Counsel Endicott Interconnect Technologies, Inc. 1701 North Street, 0099/257-4 AA12 Endicott, NY 13760			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, THINH T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2818	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005	DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/812,890	JAPP ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thinh T. Nguyen	2818			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 I	March 2005.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 34 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice	the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Election/Restriction**

Claims 1-34 are pending in this application.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,890

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-10,21-26, drawn to a circuitized substrate and a method for making it classified in class 257, subclass 762 and class 438, subclass 687.
  - II. Claims 11-20,27-30, drawn to an electrical assembly, classified in class 257, subclass 724.
  - III. claims 31-34 drawn to a computer system classified in class 700 subclass 90.
- 2. in the case applicant elected group I, these inventions are required to be further restricted under 35 U.S.C. 121:as the following:
  - Group A. Claims 1-10, drawn to a circuitized substrate classified in class 257, subclass 762
  - Group B. Claims 21-26, drawn to a method for making circuitized substrate classified in class 438, subclass 687.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require

Application/Control Number: 10/812,890

Art Unit: 2818

the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the electrical assembly can be patentable with a novel electrical component. The subcombination has separate utility such as: the claimed circuitized substrate can be used in other applications such as dummy board with no electrical component.

Page 3

- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the computer system can be patentable with a novel CPU. The subcombination has separate utility such as: the claimed electrical assembly can be used in other application such as an analog circuit board.
- 5. for the method and device restriction, the inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions group B and group A are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product

Application/Control Number: 10/812,890 Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the Group A invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group B invention, since the device of the group A invention could be made by processes materially different from those of the Group B invention. For example, in claim 23, the process for making a circuitized substrate wherein the though hole can be provide with a mechanical drill which is a materially different process from claim 23 using laser.

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not coexistent. Therefore, separate examinations would be required and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Art Unit: 2818

## **CONCLUSION**

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thinh T Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1790. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00 AM 6.00 PM Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DAVID NELMS can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval [ PAIR ] system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Umlayer

Thinh T Nguyen

Art Unit 2818